arXiv:1410.0308v1 [math.GT] 1 Oct 2014

PREDICTING THE NUMBER AND TYPE OF TWIST SITES IN A RATIONAL KNOT OR LINK

MARK E. KIDWELL AND KERRY M. LUSE

Abstract. A rational knot or link can be put into a standard alternating format which has horizontal and vertical twist sites (double helices). The number and type of these twist sites are determined by terms of next-to-highest z-degree in Kauffman's regular isotopy invariant $\Lambda(a, z)$. In particular, for a knot or link with c crossings, the coefficient of the z^{c-2} term is equal to the number of twist sites in its standard diagram. Furthermore, the coefficients of the $a^{-2}z^{c-2}$ and a^2z^{c-2} terms count the number of left-turning and right-turning twist sites, respectively.

1. Introduction

In attempting to extend some results of Abe, [Abe], about Kauffman's clock moves, [Kau1], it became necessary to distinguish rational knots and links from more complicated types. Using Conway's continued fraction rule [Con], it is possible to put a rational tangle into a standard format with a certain number of twist sites (double helices) that alternate between horizontal and vertical. (Twist sites with a single crossing are somewhat troublesome, but can be classified as horizontal or vertical by their relative position in the tangle.)

As is well known to pipe threaders, there are two types of helices, those with positive torsion and those with negative torsion. See Figure 1. This fact, which also applies to double helices, is independent of any orientation assigned to the strands. A given twist site can contribute positive or negative writhe to its tangle depending on how the strands are oriented. In an alternating diagram of a rational tangle, the twist sites must alternate between double helices of positive and negative torsion.

(a) (b)

Figure 1. Positive and negative twist types.

Date: October 2, 2014.

We show in this paper that certain terms in Kauffman's two-variable, regular isotopy invariant $\Lambda(a, z)$ predict the number and type of twist sites in a rational knot or link in standard alternating form. It has been known since the 1980's [Thi] that, for a reduced, alternating diagram with c crossings, the terms of Λ of highest$z$-degree have z-exponent $c-1$ and depend only on the underlying Conway basic polyhedron of the diagram. In particular, for an algebraic knot or link (and rationals are a subclass), these terms are $a^{-1}z^{c-1} + az^{c-1}$.

Most of our attention will be focused on the next-highest z-terms $a^{-2}z^{c-2}$, z^{c-2}, and a^2z^{c-2}. We shall call the coefficients of these three terms u_-, u_0, and u_+. According to Thistlethwaite, no term in Λ can have the sum of its z-exponent and the absolute value of its a-exponent greater than c [Thi]. Thistlethwaite also proves that u_-, u_0, and u_+ are non-negative. We will prove that the coefficient u_0 of z^{c-2} for a rational knot or link equals the number of twist sites in its standard diagram.

Before we can discuss u_- and u_+, we must mention that the Hopf link is ambiguous as to whether its twist sites are left-turning or right-turning (see Figure 2). In this case, $c = 2$, there is one twist site, and the only term in Λ with z-exponent zero is 1. That is, $u_- = u_+ = 0$. In all other cases involving alternating knots, $u_- + u_+ = u_0$, as proved in [Thi]. We will show that u_+ and u_- are equal to the number of right-turning and left-turning twist sites for a rational knot or link with three or more crossings in standard format.



(A) (B)

FIGURE 2. The left- and right-handed Hopf links are equivalent

2. Standard format for a rational tangle

We will draw our rational tangles in a herringbone pattern starting at the northwest (NW) quadrant of the tangle and ending at the southeast (SE) quadrant (Figure 3). In addition:

(1) The first and last twist sites have at least two crossings.

(2) The twist sites alternate between horizontal and vertical. This rule defines whether a twist site with a single crossing is horizontal or vertical.

(3) All horizontal twist sites are left-turning and all vertical twist sites are right-turning.

(4) The last (SE) twist site is horizontal.



FIGURE 3. A standard diagram of a rational knot.

These rules have a number of simple consequences. Rule 3 ensures that our tangle diagrams are alternating. At every crossing, the overcrossing segment has positive slope. In keeping with Rule 4, we will always use the "numerator closure," $N(T)$, (NW strand joined to NE, SW strand joined to SE) when making our tangles into rational knots or links. By rules 2 and 3, the number of left-turning twist sites will never differ from the number of right-turning twist sites by more than one. By 4, left-turning twist sites will never be in the minority.

We will write the Conway notation [Con] for a rational tangle with three or more twist sites as $pq_1q_2 \dots q_kr$, where $p \geq 2$, $r \geq 2$, and $q_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. There are $k+2$ twist sites in such a tangle. Rational tangles with one or two twist sites are exceptional, and will be treated separately.

3. Properties of Kauffman's Λ polynomial

We follow the conventions of Kauffman's defining paper [Kau2] and of [Thi], namely:

(1) A simple closed curve in the plane has Λ invariant 1.

(2) (The four term skein relation)

$$\Lambda_{D_+} + \Lambda_{D_-} = z(\Lambda_{D_0} + \Lambda_{D_\infty})$$

See Figure 4.

(3) (The loop relation)

a. $\Lambda($ $) = a\Lambda($ $)$

b. $\Lambda($ $) = a^{-1}\Lambda($  $)$

(A) D_+ (B) D_- (C) D_0 (D) D_∞

FIGURE 4. The four terms in the skein relation for Kauffman's Λ polynomial.

Rule 3 shows that Λ is changed by type I Reidemeister moves, so it can only be a regular isotopy (preserved by Reidemeister II and III) invariant.

The symmetry of D_+ and D_- , and of D_0 and D_∞, makes it difficult to distinguish them. By our conventions for standard format, all crossings are of the form D_+. If D_+ is an alternating diagram, then D_- will have a bridge that overcrosses at three consecutive crossings. According to [Thi] and [Kid], the largest exponent of z in Λ_{D_-} is at most $c-3$, so this polynomial will not contribute to the z^{c-2} or higher terms of D_+. In a slight deviation from [Thi], we define $\tilde{\Lambda}(a,z) = u_- a^{-2} z^{c-2} + u_0 z^{c-2} + u_+ a^2 z^{c-2} + a^{-1} z^{c-1} + a z^{c-1}$. This truncated invariant satisfies the skein relation

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{D_+} = z(\tilde{\Lambda}_{D_0} + \tilde{\Lambda}_{D_\infty}) \tag{3.1}$$

It is possible and necessary to distinguish the two types of smoothings at a crossing along a twist site with at least two crossings. We say that a smoothing is ***axial*** if it reduces a twist site of n crossings to a twist site of $n-1$ crossings. We say that a smoothing is ***cross-sectional*** if it cuts across the twist site and creates a loop. See Figure 5. It then follows that in a horizontal twist site, D_0 is a cross-sectional smoothing and D_∞ is an axial smoothing, while for a vertical twist site, D_0 is an axial smoothing and D_∞ is a cross-sectional smoothing. This last observation can be used to classify smoothings of one-crossing twist sites as axial or cross-sectional. However, we will avoid smoothing a one-crossing twist site as much as possible. A large part of our argument will involve specifying when a given rational knot or link has the same $\tilde{\Lambda}$ invariant (up to a power of z) as an axial smoothing at some crossing and when the cross-sectional smoothing makes a contribution to $\tilde{\Lambda}$.



FIGURE 5. A cross-sectional smoothing (left) and an axial smoothing (right) performed on the right most crossing.

4. Main results

We will say that a rational tangle $pq_1q_2\dots q_kr$ is ***minimal*** if $p = 2$, $r = 2$, and $q_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots k$. Otherwise, we say that the tangle (and a particular twist site) has ***extra crossings***.

Lemma 4.1. *If a rational tangle T in standard format with c crossings has extra crossings, then there is a tangle T' with $c-1$ crossings, still in standard format with the same number of twist sites as T, such that T' is obtained from T by an axial smoothing.*

Proof. Simply perform the axial smoothing at a twist site with extra crossings. □

We point out, in anticipation of future research, that Lemma 4.1 applies not only to rational knots and links, but to any knot or link that is decomposed into rational tangles.

4.1. **Rational tangles with one or two twist sites.** We begin with the Λ-invariant of the Hopf link, as given in [KI]:

$$\Lambda(a,z) = \begin{matrix} -az^{-1} & & +az \\ & +1 & \\ -a^{-1}z^{-1} & & +a^{-1}z \end{matrix}$$

and thus

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(a,z) = \begin{matrix} & az & \\ +1 & & \\ & +a^{-1}z. & \end{matrix}$$

The middle term of $z^{c-2} = z^0$ is 1, and, in standard format, the link has one twist site. As noted earlier, the handedness of this twist site is ambiguous.

We move on to a left-turning trefoil. The axial smoothing of one of its crossings is the Hopf link, and the cross-sectional smoothing is an unknot with two crossings that give it a Λ-invariant of a^2 (see Figure 6).By (3.1), we have $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{tref}}(a,z) = z(1 + a^{-1}z + az + a^2)$, or

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{tref}}(a,z) = \quad & a^2z \\ & \quad +az^2 \\ & +z \\ & \quad +a^{-1}z^2\end{aligned}$$



FIGURE 6. The axial and cross-sectional smoothings of a trefoil knot.

Lemma 4.2. *Let K be a knot or link with a single left-turning twist site and $c \geq 4$ crossings. Then $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is identical, up to powers of z, to $\tilde{\Lambda}$ for the left-turning trefoil.*

Proof. In this case, $\tilde{\Lambda}$ will have terms of degree $c-1$ and $c-2 \geq 2$. The cross-sectional smoothing is again an unknot with $\Lambda = a^{c-1}$. Even multiplied by z in (3.1), this term makes no contributions to the $\tilde{\Lambda}$-invariant of the c-crossing knot. We can thus smooth our way back to the trefoil with no change in $\tilde{\Lambda}$ except added powers of z. □

That is: when we have a single left-turning twist site with at least three crossings, $u_+ = 1$ (the number of left turning twist sites), $u_0 = 1$ (the total number of twist sites), and $u_- = 0$ (the number of right-turning twist sites).

We move on to rational tangles of the form pr (two twist sites). The simplest such tangle satisfying our rules is 22, which closes to the figure-eight knot. According to [KI]:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{fig } 8}(a,z) = \quad & a^2z^2 & \\ & & +az^3 \\ & +2z^2 & \\ & & +a^{-1}z^3 \\ & a^{-2}z^2 &\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma shows that this pattern holds for the numerator closure of any tangle pr.

Lemma 4.3. *Let K be a knot or link corresponding to the numerator closure of the rational tangle pr with $p \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$. Then $\tilde{\Lambda}_K$ is identical up to powers of z to $\tilde{\Lambda}_{fig\ 8}$.*

Proof. The case where $p = 2$ and $r = 2$ is just the case of the figure eight knot. The remaining cases have either $p > 2$ or $r > 2$, or both. The invariant $\tilde{\Lambda}$ of such a knot or link will have non-zero z-exponents at the powers $p + r - 1$ and $p + r - 2$. If we perform the cross-sectional smoothing of a p-crossing with $p \geq 3$, we get a diagram of r with extra crossings (see Figure 7 for example). The highest z-power in this smoothed knot or link will be z^{r-1}, but when we multiply by z as in (3.1) we get z^r. Since $3 \leq p$, $r + 3 \leq p + r$, $r+1 \leq p+r-2$ and so $r < p+r-2$ and the cross-sectional smoothing makes no contribution to the $\tilde{\Lambda}$-invariant of $N(pr)$. A similar analysis holds if we perform a smoothing at an r-site with $r \geq 3$. □

In all cases, $u_+ = 1$ (the number of left-turning twist sites), $u_0 = 2$ (the total number of twist sites), and $u_- = 1$ (the number of right turning twist sites).

4.2. **Rational tangles with three or more twist sites.**

Lemma 4.4. *Let $T = pq_1q_2 \dots q_kr$ be a tangle in standard format with at least three twist sites. Let T' be a tangle obtained by performing a cross-sectional smoothing on one crossing in an interior twist site of T. Then $N(T')$ is the connected sum of two knots or links.*

Proof. See Figure 8. Suppose the cross-sectional smoothing is performed on the q_i twist site. There is a simple closed curve in the plane that intersects T in four points and separates $pq_1 \dots q_i$ from $q_{i+1} \dots q_kr$. (If $i = k$, the r-twist site will stand alone.) After the cross-sectional smoothing, this simple closed curve can be made to intersect T in two points, defining the connected sum. □

Recall that the Λ-invariant of a connected sum $K'\#K''$ is the product of the Λ-invariants of its factors. Suppose K' has c_1 crossings and K'' has c_2 crossings, both reduced and alternating. For $\tilde{\Lambda}$ we have:



FIGURE 7. The cross-sectional and axial smoothings of the knot $N(43)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} u'_+a^2z^{c_1-2} & \\ & az^{c_1-1} \\ +u'_0z^{c_1-2} & \\ & +a^{-1}z^{c_1-1} \\ +u'_-a^{-2}z^{c_1-2} & \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} u''_+a^2z^{c_2-2} & \\ & az^{c_2-1} \\ +u''_0z^{c_2-2} & \\ & +a^{-1}z^{c_2-1} \\ +u''_-a^{-2}z^{c_2-2} & \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} & +(u'_+ + u''_+)a^3z^{c_1+c_2-3} \\ & \\ & +(u'_+ + u'_0 + u''_+ + u''_0)az^{c_1+c_2-3} \\ \cdots & \\ & +(u'_0 + u'_- + u''_0 + u''_-)a^{-1}z^{c_1+c_2-3} \\ & \\ & +(u'_- + u''_-)a^{-3}z^{c_1+c_2-3} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} a^2z^{c_1+c_2-2} \\ \\ +2z^{c_1+c_2-2} \\ \\ a^{-2}z^{c_1+c_2-2} \end{array}$$

In particular, if the connected sum has c crossings, then the highest z-degree of its $\tilde{\Lambda}$-invariant is $c-2$.

Let the tangle T be in the standard format $pq_1 \dots q_k r$. We first want to show that if T has j extra crossings, then $\tilde{\Lambda}_T(a,z) = z^j\tilde{\Lambda}_m(a,z)$ where m is the minimal tangle 21^k2. The cases $p \geq 3$ and $r \geq 3$ proceed as in the case of tangles with two twist sites. Now suppose $q_i \geq 2$. We wish to show that $\tilde{\Lambda}_T(a,z) = z\tilde{\Lambda}_{T'}(a,z)$ where $T' = pq_1 \dots (q_i - 1) \dots q_k r$,



FIGURE 8. The cross-sectional smoothing of a rational knot in standard form.

which is equivalent to saying that the cross-sectional smoothing T'' at a q_i twist site makes no contribution to $\tilde{\Lambda}_T$ if $q_i \geq 2$. If T has c crossings, then T'' loses one crossing at the smoothing and at least one other ($q_i \geq 2$) when any loops along the q_i twist site are unlooped. We also know that T'' is a connected sum, so that $\tilde{\Lambda}_{T''}$ has terms of z-degree at most $c-4$. But the terms of $\tilde{\Lambda}_T$ have z-degree $c-2$ and $c-1$ so that, even multiplied by z in (3.1), $\tilde{\Lambda}_{T''}$ makes no contribution to $\tilde{\Lambda}_T$.

We iterate this argument until we are down to the minimal tangle 21^k2.

Theorem 4.1. *A rational knot or link, $N(T)$, in standard format with $k+2$ twist sites and c crossings has:*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Lambda}(a,z) = \quad & \left\lceil \tfrac{k+2}{2} \right\rceil a^2 z^{c-2} && \\ & && +az^{c-1} \\ & +(k+2)z^{c-2} && \\ & && +a^{-1}z^{c-1} \\ & + \left\lfloor \tfrac{k+2}{2} \right\rfloor a^{-2} z^{c-2} &&\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The critical case is $N(21^k2)$. We will smooth the last singleton vertex, which counts as a vertical twist site. Figure 9 illustrates that we lose two twist sites and one crossing when we perform the axial smoothing. Call the resulting tangle T'. As a result of this type of smoothing, 212 becomes 4, 2112 becomes 23, and for $k \geq 3$, 21^k2 becomes $21^{k-2}3$.

T' has $2 + (k-2) + 3 = k+3$ crossings. In all cases, the cross-sectional smoothings are connected sums with one component a Hopf link. Call this tangle T''. It has no loops, an alternating diagram, and $(k-1) + 2 + 2 = k+3$ crossings. As explained above, the terms of highest z-degree in $\tilde{\Lambda}_{T''}$ are $(a^2 + 2 + a^{-2})z^{k+1}$ (connected sum powers are reduced by 1).



FIGURE 9. The axial smoothing of $N(21112)$ results in the knot $N(213)$. The cross-sectional smoothing of $N(21112)$ results in the knot $N(22)\#N(2)$.

Meanwhile, we can assume by induction that:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Lambda}_{T'}(a,z) = \quad & \left\lceil \tfrac{k}{2} \right\rceil a^2 z^{k+1} \\ & \qquad\qquad az^{k+2} \\ & +kz^{k+1} \\ & \qquad\qquad +a^{-1}z^{k+2} \\ & \left\lfloor \tfrac{k}{2} \right\rfloor a^{-2} z^{k+1}\end{aligned}$$

Thus the highest z-powers of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{T''}$ are on the same level as the next-to-highest z-powers of $\tilde{\Lambda}_{T'}$. By (3.1), we have for Λ_T that $u_+ = \left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + 1$, $u_0 = k+2$, and $u_- = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$. If k is even, say $k = 2l$, then $\left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + 1 = l + 1 = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{2}{2} = \frac{k+2}{2} = \left\lceil \frac{k+2}{2} \right\rceil$. If k is odd, say $k = 2l+1$, then $\left\lceil \frac{k}{2} \right\rceil + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{2l+1}{2} \right\rceil + 1 = \left\lceil l + \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil + 1 = l + 1 + 1 = \frac{k-1}{2} + 2 = \frac{k+3}{2} = \left\lceil \frac{k+3}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{k+2}{2} \right\rceil$. Similarly,

$u_- = \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{k+2}{2} \right\rfloor$. The formula given in the theorem is verified for a minimal tangle. Any tangle with extra crossings can be reduced to this case.

□

We call a knot or link ***top-heavy*** if $u_+ \geq u_-$, ***bottom-heavy*** if $u_- \geq u_+$, and ***balanced*** if $u_+ = u_-$. It is a consequence of the choices we made when defining standard format that we get the top-heavy form of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ for knots with an odd number of twist sites. The mirror image knot will have $\tilde{\Lambda}$ bottom-heavy. In a rational knot or link with an even number of twist sites, $\tilde{\Lambda}$ will be balanced. (When taking a mirror image, a is replaced by a^{-1} in Λ.) We could not have written this paper without the data provided by Livingston and Cha's excellent programs Knot Info and Link Info [KI],[LI]. We are uncertain, however, how one form of the knot diagram was chosen as "the" form and the other was labeled as "mirror." The situation for links is even more complicated. In every case that we have examined, the Kauffman polynomial given corresponds to "the" knot diagram, but there is a slight preference for the bottom-heavy form.

Let us give a simple geometric consequence of our calculations:

Corollary 4.1. *Let T be a rational tangle in standard format with an odd number of twist sites. Then $N(T)$ cannot be an amphicheiral knot or link.*

Proof. Since $u_+ = u_- + 1$, the invariant $\tilde{\Lambda}$ does not have the necessary symmetry under $a \leftrightarrow a^{-1}$.

□

5. More complicated cases

We hope to extend our work to algebraic knots and links that are not rational. Our searches in Knot Info and Link Info have revealed at least two differences in the $\tilde{\Lambda}$ invariants:

(1) The coefficient u_0 can exceed the number of twist sites by 1 (for example, 8_5 and 8_{10} in Rolfsen notation) or 2 (for example, $10_{79} - 10_{81}$). We have not observed an excess of 3 or more, but cannot rule it out at this point.

(2) In rational knots and links in standard format, we have $u_+ = u_-$ or $u_+ = u_- + 1$. This near-balance does not necessarily hold in non-rational knots or links. For example, the non-rational link with smallest crossing number $6_1^3 = L6a5 = [2; 2; 2]$ (see Figure 10), has $u_+ = 3$, $u_0 = 4$, and $u_- = 1$. The coefficient u_0 exceeds the number of twist sites by 1, and u_- exceeds the number of right-turning twist sites by 1, while u_+ accurately predicts the number of left-turning twist sites.



FIGURE 10. The three component link with Rolfsen notation 6_1^3.

REFERENCES

[Abe] Y. Abe, *The Clock Number of a Knot*, arXiv:1103.0072v1 [math.GT] 1 Mar 2011.

[KI] J.C. Cha and C. Livingston, *Knot Info: Table of Knot Invariants*, http://www.indiana.edu/∼knotinfo, August 28, 2014.

[LI] J.C. Cha and C. Livingston, *Link Info: Table of Knot Invariants*, http://www.indiana.edu/∼linkinfo, August 28, 2014.

[Con] J.H. Conway, *An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties*, Computational problems in abstract algebra (ed. Leech), Pergamon Press, 1969, 329-358.

[Kau1] L.H. Kauffman *Formal Knot Theory*, Dover Publications, 2006.

[Kau2] L.H. Kauffman *An invariant of regular isotopy*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol 318, 2, (1990), 417-471.

[Kid] M.E. Kidwell, *On the degree of the Brandt-Lickorish-Millet-Ho polynomial of a link*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 100 (4), 1987: 755-762.

[Rol] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and Links*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2003.

[Thi] M.B. Thistlethwaite, *Kauffman's polynomial and alternating links*, Topology, 27 (3), 1988: 311-318.

E-mail address: mek@usna.edu

E-mail address: lusek@trinitydc.edu